Hearing Date And Time: February 24, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) Objection Deadline: February 17, 2009 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

FEB 17 2009

U.S. BANKHUPTCY COURT, SDNY

Dewey F. Mort 10 Cline Rd. Kettle Falls, WA 99141 (623) 707-5657

Salaried Employee of Delphi Corporation Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession



in re : Chapter 11

In re : Chapter 11

DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

-----X

LETTER TO CONTEST DELPHI'S MOTION FOR ORDER UNDER 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 363(b)(1), AND 1108 CONFIRMING DEBTORS' AUTHORITY TO TERMINATE EMPLOYER-PAID POSTRETIREMENT HEALTH CARE BENEFITS AND EMPLOYER-PAID POST-RETIREMENT LIFE INSURANCE BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN (A) SALARIED EMPLOYEES AND (B) RETIREES AND THEIR SURVIVING SPOUSES ("SALARIED OPEB TERMINATION MOTION")

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

I am a retired Delphi employee. As the court knows, Delphi Corp. filed a motion with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court to discontinue healthcare and life insurance coverage for retirees. The notice also stated my Retiree Health Reimbursement Account (RHRA) would be eliminated. This account was established as part of the "deal" a couple of years ago when Delphi terminated health insurance coverage for retirees once they reach 65 years of age.

I am contesting this motion for the following reasons:

- 1) The obligation Delphi has to provide health care coverage for retirees is time limited. Coverage for retirees is stopped when they reach age 65. And, retirees hired after 1992 do not receive health care coverage in retirement.
- 2) People hired after December 31, 1992 knew they would not receive health care coverage in retirement when they hired in so they have had time to plan for health care in retirement. People such as myself who were hired in the 60's, 70's or 80's were told by the company that we would receive health care coverage in retirement and now have no time to plan for providing ourselves and families with health care coverage in retirement. It will cost me more than a third of my fixed income to continue coverage.
- 3) The motion made by Delphi is for <u>permanent</u> elimination of health care benefits for salaried people who were promised this coverage when they retire. When the company recovers and the executives are receiving bonuses, it makes sense to me that the company would honor its commitment to provide health care coverage to the salaried employees who were promised coverage.

SUMMARY

I am an engineer who hired into the company under GM and have dedicated my working career to making GM and then Delphi the best company I could. I had opportunities over the

05-44481-rdd Doc 15363 Filed 02/17/09 Entered 02/19/09 12:46:15 Main Document

years to work in other industries for other companies. Some of these offers were for a higher

salary. I turned them down out of loyalty to my company. I expect some level of loyalty from

my company.

I understand the extraordinary difficult economic times that the company, as well as the

automotive industry faces. Sacrifices are being made now and more will be necessary. If health

care coverage for retirees needs to be temporarily modified to help ensure the company's survival,

then that is what we need to do. For example, co-pays, deductibles and/or premiums could be

temporarily raised. However, I believe the permanent elimination of health care coverage for

salaried retirees that were promised this coverage is not justified.

I appreciate the court taking the time to consider my argument and respectfully ask that

you not approve Delphi's motion to terminate health coverage for salaried employees that are

counting on that coverage.

Dated:

February 13, 2009

Dewey F. Mort

10 Cline Rd.

Kettle Falls, WA 99141

Dewy J. Mats

623-707-5657